

# Managing Medication Complexity in the Community

William R. Doucette<sup>1</sup>, Randal McDonough<sup>1, 2</sup>, Michael Deninger<sup>2</sup>, Kelly Kent<sup>2</sup>, Kayla Hughes<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Iowa College of Pharmacy; <sup>2</sup> Towncrest Pharmacy, Iowa City; <sup>3</sup>Albertson Companies



Use of LESS CoMMplex Medication Service Reduced Patient Medication Complexity Scores.

MRP's were identified and addressed through a tiered approach using complexity scores.

### Objectives

To implement and evaluate the Less CoMMplex service in a community pharmacy – Goals were to:

- Reduce medication complexity
- Identify medication-related problems (MRPs)
- Resolve MRPs
- Work collaboratively with other providers

## Background

Medication complexity increases due to:

- Multiple providers
- Multiple prescriptions
- Different dosing schedules

Community pharmacists positioned to work with patients and prescribers to manage medication complexity

#### **IMECS Tool**

# **Iowa Medication Complexity Score (IMECS)**

- Simple method & easier than other complexity tools
- Sum of five components calculated for a 180-day lookback period
- Score can be calculated automatically and displayed in dispensing software

### Number of different dosage forms

Number of dates of dispensing

Number of high-risk medications

Number of different medications

Number of unique prescribers

Methods

- Less CoMMplex service focused on patients at least 50 years old for interventions for 9-Month Period
- Iowa Medication Complexity Score (IMECS) used to sort patients into three categories: High, Medium, Low
- Category dictated the intensity of service

**N** = 1,019 patients

- Low complexity received continuous medication monitoring (CoMM) services which identified, addressed and documented MRPs at time of dispensing
- Medium complexity received CoMM services, but also had targeted interventions
- High complexity received CoMM services and a CMR (comprehensive medication review)

#### Results

#### **High Complexity Group**

- About 60% Female
- 148 CMRs Performed
- Averaged 7.4 Medical Conditions

#### **All Patients**

- 10,535 MRPs Documented
- 10,482 Interventions Documented

Paired IMECS scores were compared. (p<0.01)

Mean Baseline IMECS = 28.63 **Mean Treatment Period IMECS = 27.29** n = 661 patients

324 (49.0%) patients showed a decrease in their IMECS, while 146 (22.1%) showed an increase.

#### **Most Common MRP Types**

40.3% **Medication Nonadherence** 

**Patient Counseling Indicated 17.1%** 

**Therapeutic Duplication** 16.8%

**Needs Additional Therapy** 8.9%

### **Most Common Interventions**

**Continue to Monitor** 

35.6%

16.6%

**No Change in Therapy** 12.8%

**Patient Counseling** 

**Drug Discontinued** 10%

# Conclusions/Implications

- A medication complexity service was able to reduce patients' medication complexity scores
- Using IMECS to sort patients for different services provided efficiencies to the pharmacy and the patients
- Future research is needed on this promising care model

FUNDING: This study was funded by the Center for Health Care Strategies